

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

In re DAVID H., a Person Coming Under
the Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

DAVID H.,

Defendant and Appellant.

E032233

(Super.Ct.No. J-182782)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of San Bernardino County. A. Rex Victor,
Judge. Affirmed.

Karen R. Di Donna, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and
Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

On July 9, 2002, upon the conclusion of a jurisdictional hearing, the juvenile court
found to be true the allegations filed in count 2 (Pen. Code, § 451, subd. (b), setting fire
to an inhabited dwelling) of the amended original juvenile wardship petition filed
pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 by the San Bernardino County

District Attorney. Count 1 (Pen. Code, § 245, subd. (a)(1)) was dismissed by the court.

The disposition hearing was conducted on August 15, 2002, and David H. (minor) was adjudged a ward of the juvenile court pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602, placed on probation, and released to the custody of his mother on condition that he participate in the “Success Program” and comply with terms and conditions Nos. 1 through 36 of that program.

Minor appealed and upon his request, this court appointed counsel to represent him. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 [87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493], setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts, and requesting this court to undertake a review of the entire record. We offered the minor an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief which he has not done.

We have now concluded our independent review of the record and find no arguable issues.

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

RAMIREZ

P. J.

We concur:

McKINSTER

J.

GAUT

J.